

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/806,907	03/22/2004	Jian Bai	10980322-5	2395
7	590 05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
Agilent Technologies, Inc.			GURZO, PAUL M	
Intellectual Property Administration Legal Department, M/S DL429 P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			XX			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/			
	10/806,907	BAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit				
	Paul Gurzo	2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tle, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	March 2004.					
	•					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 34-50 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 34-50 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers .						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ e drawing(s) be held in abe ection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in onty documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 34-50 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 34-51 of copending Application No. 10/806,808 and claims 34-54 of copending Application No. 10/806,908.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 34-50 of the instant application are anticipated by claims 34-51 of copending Application No. 10/806,808 and claims 34-54 of copending Application No. 10/806,908. Each set of claims include an ionization enclosure including a passageway, means to maintain the enclosure at an ambient pressure of greater than 100 mTorr, a source of laser energy to desorb and ionize the analyte, and a means for directing the portion into the passageway.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/806,907

Art Unit: 2881

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

Zhu (5,192,865)

Levis et al. (5,210,412)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2472. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PMG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3

ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800